REC MAT Update

RPF 9 May 2018 Ian Bowker

RecMat Committee

- Committee formed on resolution of RPF May 2016
- Focus on the use of recovered building materials and concrete as pavement construction materials
- Aim to compile a Best Practice guideline for the use of recovered materials in roads
- Being based on research (Dutch and local) and inputs from industry.

RecMat Committee

Current

- Self-Cementing Mechanisms in Recycled Concrete and Masonry for Road Materials. PhD in Civil Engineering
- Durability and Performance Evaluation of South African Recycled Mixed Granulates in Unbound Pavement Layers. MEng at Delft University of Technology
- The use of Recycled Concrete Aggregate in BSM's. MEng (Research)

Complete

- Modelling the Shrinkage Behaviour of Recycled Concrete Aggregate and Cement Stabilised Materials. MEng (Research), March 2018
- Carbonation of Cement Stabilised Materials in Pavement Layers. MEng (Research), March 2018
- The Performance Properties of Recycled Concrete in Road Pavement Materials. MEng (Research) March 2018
- The Use of Chemical and Strength Tests for Evaluating the Self-cementing Action of Recycled Concrete Aggregate. MEng (Structured), March 2017
- Comparative Shrinkage Properties of Pavement Materials Including Recycled Concrete Aggregates With and Without Cement Stabilisation. MEng (Research). March 2016
- The Influence Of Self Cementation In Recycled Concrete For Road Pavement Materials. MEng (Structured), December 2015
- Material characterisation and response modelling of Recycled Concrete and Masonry in Pavement Layers. MEng (Research), March 2014

Document contents

| CHAP | TER 1 | INTRODUCTION1 | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1.1 | INTROD | UCTION1 | |
| 1.2 | ALIGNMENT WITH RELEVANT BODIES AND DOCUMENTS1 | | |
| 1.3 | BACKGROUND1 | | |
| 1.4 | PURPOS | E OF THIS GUIDELINE | |
| CHAP | TER 2 | APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND LEGAL REQUIREMENTS | |
| 2.1 | INTROD | UCTION | |
| 2.2 | DEFINIT | IONS | |
| 2.3 | BUILDEF | S'S RUBBLE - MATERIAL STREAMS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION | |
| 2.4 | LEGISLA | TION AND POLICY – ENABLING A SECONDARY MATERIAL ECONOMY | |
| 2.5 | CONSTRU | CTION WASTE PROCESS FLOW AND WASTE LEGISLATION | |
| 2.6 | LEGAL REQUIREMENTS ON PROCESSING SECONDARY MATERIALS | | |
| 2.7 | THE CITY OF CAPE TOWN'S INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BY-LAW9 | | |
| 2.8 | CONSTR | UCTION AND DEMOLITION INDUSTRY9 | |
| 2.9 | CRUSHI | NG INDUSTRY9 | |
| CHAP | TER 3 | SELECTION AND PROCESSING 10 | |
| 3.1 | INTROD | UCTION | |
| CHAF | TER 4 | MATERIAL SPECIFICATION GUIDELINES (ROADS) 11 | |
| 4.1 | INTROD | UCTION | |
| 4.2 | DEFININ | IG RCA AND RMA AGGREGATES15 | |
| 4.3 | APPLICA | TIONS OF RCA AND RMA | |
| 4.4 | MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR RCA | | |
| 4.5 | MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR RCA AND RMA16 | | |
| 4.6 | DURABILITY OF RCA AND RMA USED IN ROAD CONSTRUCTION | | |
| 4.7 | FIELD R | EQUIREMENTS FOR RCA AND RMA16 | |
| CHAP | TER 5 | MATERIAL SPECIFICATION GUIDELINES (CONCRETE) | |
| 5.1 | INTROD | UCTION | |
| CHAF | TER 6 | GUIDELINES FOR CONSTRUCTION 18 | |
| 6.1 | INTROD | UCTION | |
| CHAP | TER 7 | REFERENCES | |

Material Specifications (Ch.4)

- Based on new COTO document specs, all reclaimed materials must meet the COTO specs for the G or C-grading it is being proposed for
- Additional composition specs being recommended
- Additional durability specs being recommended

Material specs: Composition

Table 4-3: Limitations on the concrete and masonry content for a given G-Class material.

| Material Class | Concrete Content (%) | Masonry Content (%) |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| G4 | 100 | 0 |
| G5(a) | 80-100 | 0-20 |
| G5(b) | 65-100 | 0-35 |
| G6 | 65-100 | 0-35 |
| G7 | 65-100 | 0-35 |
| G8 | 65-100 | 0-35 |
| G9 | 50-100 | 0-50 |
| G10 | 50-100 | 0-50 |

Table 4-4: Reclaimed concrete and masonry contents applicable to cement stabilised materials.

| Material Class | Concrete Content (%) | Masonry Content (%) | Material before treatment |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| C3 or higher | 80-100 | 0-20 | G5(a) |
| C4 | 80-100 | 0-20 | G5(b) & G6 |

Material specs: Composition

Table 4-1: Reclaimed concrete granulates constituent limits (CROW, 1995).

| Reclaimed Concrete Granulates | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| Constituents | | Description | Limit (% mass/mass) |
| Main | Α | Crushed gravel concrete and crushed-stone concrete, with a particle density of at least 2100 kg/m ³ | A + B ≥ 80 |
| Main | В | Other crushed stone material and stony material, with a particle density of at least 2100 kg/m ³ | B ≤ 10 |
| Secondary | С | Crushed masonry with a particle density of at least 1600 km/m ³ and other crushed stony material (light weight concrete, glass, slag, etc.) | C + D ≤ 10 D ≤ 5 |
| Impurities | E | Gypsum and non-stony material ((non)-ferro metal, plastics, rubbers, polystyrene, etc.) | E ≤ 1 |
| Impundes | F | Decomposed organic material (wood, rope, paper, plants, remains, etc.) | F ≤ 0.1 |

Table 4-2: Mix granulates constituent limits (CROW, 1995).

| RCA and RMA – Reclaimed Concrete and Masonry Aggregate | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| Constituents | | Description | Limit (% mass/mass) |
| | Α | Crushed gravel concrete or crushed-stone concrete, with a particle density of at least 2100 kg/m ³ | A+B ≥ 50 |
| Main | В | Other crushed stone and stony material, with a particle density of at least 2100 kg/m ³ | A ≥ 45 |
| | С | Crushed masonry, other crushed stone and stony material, with a particle density of at least 1600 kg/m ³ | C ≤ 50 |
| Secondary | D | Other crushed stone and stony material (light weight concrete, glass, slag, etc.) | D + E ≤ 10 |
| | E | Crushed asphalt | EZD |
| T | F | Gypsum and non-stony material ((non)-ferro metal, plastics, rubbers, polystyrene, etc.) | F ≤ 1 |
| Impurities | G | Decomposed organic material (wood, rope, paper, plants, remains, etc.) | G ≤ 0.1 |

Material specs: Suitability

| Material Class | Suitability | Traffic Volume |
|----------------|--|----------------|
| G4 | - Unbound base layer | Low |
| G5(a) | - Unbound base layer | Low |
| (a) | Bound subbase layer (C3 or higher) | High |
| CF(b) | Unbound base layer | Low |
| G3(D) | - Bound subbase layer (C4) | Low |
| C6 | - Unbound base layer | Low |
| | - Bound subbase layer (C4) | Low |
| G7 | - Selected material | - |
| G8 | - Selected material | - |
| G9 | - Selected material | - |
| G10 | - Fill material | - |

Material specs: Composition

- For a G5(a), max 20% Masonry, but 20% of what?
 - 20% by mass?
 - 20% by volume?
 - What fraction sizes? > 2mm? >5mm? >7.1mm?
- Netherlands visually sort and weigh material retained on 7.1mm sieve only. Good enough?
- New reliable SA test method to determine % masonry is required.
- Current suggestion is everything retained on 5mm and above is sorted and weighed. Practical?

Material specs: Durability

- Questions remain around the durability and variable quality of clay masonry.
- Also durability specs are material dependant (e.g. DMI) and Reclaimed materials will consist of various material
- Suggesting that all reclaimed materials go through DMI and 10% FACT wet (SANS 3001 AG-10)
- DMI: Reclaimed materials must meet < 35% passing 0.475mm sieve spec
- Reclaimed materials must have \geq 10% FACT wet value than the alternative proposed commercial source.
- Suggestions/comments on durability?

Request for information

 The committee would love to get any information and test results of materials used in projects or up coming projects to form a data base and help with the formation of proposed specs.

 Info to <u>ian.bowker@capetown.gov.za</u> or <u>kirsten@green-cape.co.za</u>

Thank you